

Technical Information Report



AAMI TIR38: 2014

Medical device safety
assurance case report
guidance

Medical device safety assurance case report guidance

Approved 23 December 2014 by
Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

Abstract: Provides guidance on how to complete an Assurance Case Report in order to comply with the new additional FDA pre-market requirements for infusion pumps. Includes a detailed but strictly hypothetical example from the medical device domain.

Keywords: safety claim, risk management, infusion pumps

AAMI Technical Information Report

A technical information report (TIR) is a publication of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Standards Board that addresses a particular aspect of medical technology.

Although the material presented in a TIR may need further evaluation by experts, releasing the information is valuable because the industry and the professions have an immediate need for it.

A TIR differs markedly from a standard or recommended practice, and readers should understand the differences between these documents.

Standards and recommended practices are subject to a formal process of committee approval, public review, and resolution of all comments. This process of consensus is supervised by the AAMI Standards Board and, in the case of American National Standards, by the American National Standards Institute.

A TIR is not subject to the same formal approval process as a standard. However, a TIR is approved for distribution by a technical committee and the AAMI Standards Board.

Another difference is that although both standards and TIRs are periodically reviewed, a standard must be acted on—reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn—and the action formally approved usually every 5 years but at least every 10 years. For a TIR, AAMI consults with a technical committee about five years after the publication date (and periodically thereafter) for guidance on whether the document is still useful—that is, to check that the information is relevant or of historical value. If the information is not useful, the TIR is removed from circulation.

A TIR may be developed because it is more responsive to underlying safety or performance issues than a standard or recommended practice, or because achieving consensus is extremely difficult or unlikely. Unlike a standard, a TIR permits the inclusion of differing viewpoints on technical issues.

CAUTION NOTICE: This AAMI TIR may be revised or withdrawn at any time. Because it addresses a rapidly evolving field of technology, readers are cautioned to ensure that they have also considered information that may be more recent than this document.

All standards, recommended practices, technical information reports, and other types of technical documents developed by AAMI are *voluntary*, and their application is solely within the discretion and professional judgment of the user of the document. Occasionally, voluntary technical documents are adopted by government regulatory agencies or procurement authorities, in which case the adopting agency is responsible for enforcement of its rules and regulations.

Comments on this technical information report are invited and should be sent to AAMI, Attn: Standards Department, 4301 N. Fairfax Dr., Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

Published by

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation
4301 N. Fairfax Dr., Ste. 301
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
www.aami.org

© 2015 by the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation

All Rights Reserved

Publication, reproduction, photocopying, storage, or transmission, electronically or otherwise, of all or any part of this document without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation is strictly prohibited by law. It is illegal under federal law (17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*) to make copies of all or any part of this document (whether internally or externally) without the prior written permission of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation. Violators risk legal action, including civil and criminal penalties, and damages of \$100,000 per offense. For permission regarding the use of all or any part of this document, contact AAMI at 4301 N. Fairfax Dr., Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633. Phone: (703) 525-4890; Fax: (703) 525-1067.

Printed in the United States of America

ISBN 1-57020-577-9

Contents	Page
Glossary of equivalent standards	iv
Committee representation	v
Foreword	vi
Introduction	vii
1 Purpose	1
2 Scope	1
3 Relationship to other standards	1
4 Terms and definitions	2
5 Regulatory context	3
6 Safety case model for a generic medical device	3
6.1 Model objective	3
6.2 Safety case introduction	3
6.3 Proposed model — Safety case elements	4
6.4 Proposed model – Example drug delivery safety case	7
7 Generation of system and sub-system hazards	14
8 Confidence case	15
9 Challenge	17
10 Common mistakes to avoid	18
11 Developing a safety case for an existing device	19
11.1 Developing arguments	19
11.2 Developing evidence	20
11.3 Kelly’s Six Step Process	21
12 Safety cases and risk management	22
12.1 Similarities between assurance case development and risk management	22
12.2 Gaps between ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 and safety cases	22
13 Lifecycle management	22
14 Maintaining the safety case	23
15 Styles of safety case notation	23
15.1 Graphical notations	23
15.2 Structures	24
Annex A (informative) Assurance cases and evidence of substantial equivalence	26
Annex B (informative) Safety case model examples	27
Annex C (informative) Advamed Infusion Pump Assurance Case (IPAC) Template	31
Annex D (informative) Tool selection considerations	50
Annex E (informative) Developing a safety case for an existing product	51
Annex F (informative) Lessons learned regarding safety cases	53
Bibliography	55

Glossary of equivalent standards

International Standards adopted in the United States may include normative references to other International Standards. AAMI maintains a current list of each International Standard that has been adopted by AAMI (and ANSI). Available on the AAMI website at the address below, this list gives the corresponding U.S. designation and level of equivalency to the International Standard.

www.aami.org/standards/glossary.pdf

Committee representation

Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation Infusion Device Committee

This AAMI Technical Information Report (TIR) was developed and approved by the AAMI Infusion Device Committee.

At the time this document was published, the **AAMI Infusion Device Committee** had the following members:

Cochairs: Pat Baird, MBA, MS, Baxter Healthcare Corporation
Ryan McGowan, OSB, FDA/CDRH

Members: Keith B. Anderson, BSEE, Smiths Medical
Anthony R. Ball, Toxikon Corporation
Steve J. Bernard, Nestle HealthCare Nutrition Inc
Brad Bonnette, ECRI Institute
Eric L. Brennan, MS MPA, Valex Healthcare Inc
Robert D. Butterfield, CareFusion
Geoffrey Blake Collins, MBA CBET, Christiana Care Health Services
Todd Cooper, Center for Medical Interoperability
Sherman Eagles, SoftwareCPR
Gary Freitag, Greatbatch Inc
George W. Gray, Ivenix Inc
Robert Rabeh Hijazi, MS MHA CBET, St Louis VA Medical Center - John Cochran Division
Douglas Johnson
Lee Leichter, P/L Biomedical
Alan Lipschultz, CCE, PE, CSP, HealthCare Technology Consulting LLC
Yuliya Matlin, MS, Hospira Worldwide Inc
James R Milostan, Medical Specialties Distributors LLC
Ravi Narayanan, Value Plastics Inc
Shawn O'Connell, MS, RN, B Braun of America Inc
Andrew M. Rich, CBET, Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia
Philip J Schneider, University of Arizona/Library
Nathaniel M. Sims, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital
James Tiefenthal, Battelle Medical Products
Ramakrishna Venugopalan, Johnson & Johnson

Alternates: Michael John Brady, PhD, Toxikon Corporation
Michael Brown, B Braun of America Inc
William K. Day, Hospira Worldwide Inc
Lane Desborough, Medtronic Inc WHQ Campus
Christine A. Frysz, PhD, Greatbatch Inc
Eric R. Johnson, Nestle HealthCare Nutrition Inc
Paul L. Jones, CDP CSQE, FDA/CDRH
John J. Sokolowski, Ivenix Inc
Joyce Young-Stewart, RN, Baxter Healthcare Corporation

NOTE—Participation by federal agency representatives in the development of this document does not constitute endorsement by the federal government or any of its agencies.

Foreword

A challenge with ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 is that it does not require a formal, organized summary of why the device is safe for its intended use. While ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 requires a series of discrete analyses and reports, there is no overview document that provides a roadmap to product risk. Although ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 requires a risk management report, this report is at a very high level. The requirements of ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 ensure that an Overall Residual Risk Evaluation has taken place, and that a risk management report ensures that:

- a) The risk management plan has been appropriately implemented;
- b) Appropriate methods are in place to obtain relevant production and post-production information.

Thus, a risk management file created according to these tenets would not actually summarize the findings and actions from risk management activities; it would not *tell the story of safety*.

A reviewer is often faced with thousands of pages of design documentation, with no overall summary as to why the designers believe the product is safe. Additionally, if the reviewer is interested in a particular issue, there is no roadmap to finding that issue within the design documentation. The set of risk management documents may form a jigsaw puzzle of discrete elements, with no picture of how they fit together.

The Medical Device Safety Assurance Case outlined in this technical information report (TIR) provides a comprehensive and organized summary of product risk along with the evidence-based arguments that support the claims that the hazards that may arise from risk has either been eliminated or mitigated to the extent that the product is safe for its intended use.

An assurance case includes a top-level claim for a property of a system or product (or set of claims), systematic argumentation regarding this claim, and the evidence and explicit assumptions that underlie this argumentation. Arguing through multiple levels of subordinate claims, this structured argumentation connects the top-level claim to the evidence and assumptions. [ISO/IEC 15026-2:2011]

An assurance case is a systematic, structured methodology for supporting a stated claim. The claim may be related to safety, reliability, maintainability, security, etc. A safety assurance case is an assurance case with a top-level claim of safety.

This TIR provides information useful to creating and maintaining safety assurance cases for medical devices. It does this in the context of ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 and ISO/IEC 15026-2. There is additional discussion about the relationship between risk management and safety assurance cases in section 7.

While the examples used in this TIR are based on infusion pumps, the same principles apply to developing safety assurance cases for any medical device.

Suggestions for improving this recommended practice are invited. Comments and suggested revisions should be sent to Technical Programs, AAMI, 4301 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 301, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

NOTE—This foreword does not contain provisions of the AAMI TIR38, *Medical device safety assurance case guidance* (AAMI TIR38:2014), but it does provide important information about the development and intended use of the document.

Introduction

Risk management for medical devices begins at product conception and continues as an active process throughout product realization, maintenance, and retirement. The importance of clear and thorough documentation that is maintained throughout the lifecycle cannot be overemphasized.

Traditional risk analysis tools such as hazards analysis, fault tree analysis, failure modes and effect analysis, each provide useful insights into the risk profile of a particular system / product / process. However, none of these tools tells the complete, integrated safety story. These traditional tools are each a chapter in the overall story, each speaking to a certain aspect of *risk*, but few techniques are specifically tasked to structure the summary of a risk management file demonstrating *safety*. Without this story, it is difficult to know if risk management is complete.

There is a subtle difference between a risk-based focus and a safety-based focus. Risk management is required to support claims of safety, but it is not clear that they alone are always sufficient to demonstrate safety. Much like the relationship between verification and validation, the goals of risk management and safety assurance cases are related but distinctly different.

The purpose of a medical device safety assurance case (“safety case” in this document) is to tell this story of safety to the original designers, regulators, maintainers, integrators, and potentially even customers. The safety case accomplishes this storytelling by taking the information developed under risk management processes and explaining what decisions were made, why the decisions are reasonable, and where the reviewer can look for additional information.

A safety case is a report that explains how:

1. the intended use has been analyzed and hazards have been identified;
2. hazards / hazardous situations have been effectively mitigated;
3. evidence demonstrates that the mitigations are effective and will be effective over the product's lifetime;
4. a robust process has been followed throughout steps 1 through 3.

Items 1 through 3 are described in detail in ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 and item 4, robustness of the process applied, is related to the quality system in general.

A safety case meets these goals by explaining the elements and documents of the applied risk management process and why the process has been robust (i.e. in control and with a high level of assurance) by making implicit design decisions explicit and by acting as a structured index in the design files.

To realize the full benefit, the Safety Case development process must be ongoing during product design. In this way, the designers can appropriately address new hazards and faults as they arise and better inform and document the design tradeoffs and choices they make.

Medical device safety assurance case guidance

1 Purpose

The purpose of this TIR is to provide guidance on the development of Safety Cases for the design of a medical device. It is intended primarily for product developers, quality assurance, regulatory reviewers and auditors – anyone who requires a clear and complete story regarding the safety of a medical device's design.

Even though drug delivery devices have been primarily used within examples shown, the same definitions and approach can be used for any medical device.

2 Scope

This TIR is a safety case development reference for medical device design. The TIR is intended to provide a framework within which experience, insight, and judgment are applied systematically to assure and document the safety of a medical device's design.

This TIR is not intended to be a prescriptive guidance for the development and documentation of safety cases. This TIR also does not address all necessary activities required to assure that the device, as presented to the user / patient, is fit for use.

In order to simplify this TIR, this guidance has an assumption that the reader is familiar with the hazards for a particular type of product, and is not designing a new-to-world product. While the techniques in this guidance can be used for innovative products, this TIR is targeted at existing, well understood products.

Finally, this guidance is written with a focus on “design safety assurance”, emphasizing design inputs, design outputs, verification, and validation. The same techniques can be used for developing a “GMP safety assurance”, which accounts for verification and validation of the manufacturing and quality process controls. It is suggested, though not required, that a Safety Case include both aspects of the design and GMP elements of the medical device in order to effectively argue that the device as a system is safe and effective.

3 Relationship to other standards

The ISO/IEC 15026 series of standards defines terms, establishes concepts and their relationships, and specifies minimum requirements for the structure and content of an assurance case. We recommend that you follow the standard throughout the development of your safety case.

ISO/IEC TR 15026-1:2010, *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software assurance — Part 1: Concepts and vocabulary*

ISO/IEC 15026-2:2011, *Systems and software engineering — Systems and software assurance — Part 2: Assurance case*

The ISO/IEC 15026 series does not currently include information that is specific to medical devices; for example, a common question on how to integrate existing medical device risk management processes with safety cases is not addressed by ISO/IEC 15026. This TIR attempts to bridge that gap.

ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971:2007/(R)2010, *Medical devices – Application of risk management to medical devices*

Risk management is the foundation for safety case development. The ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 standard provides guidance on the processes that can be used to obtain the necessary information to complete a safety case. However, we note that there is additional work beyond ANSI/AAMI/ISO 14971 that is needed to complete a safety case.