

**IPC-7621**  
**2018 - January**

**Guideline for Design, Material  
Selection and General Application  
of Encapsulation of Electronic  
Circuit Assembly by Low Pressure  
Molding with Thermoplastics**

*An international standard developed by IPC*

*Association Connecting Electronics Industries*



---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-7621

# **Guideline for Design, Material Selection and General Application of Encapsulation of Electronic Circuit Assembly by Low Pressure Molding with Thermoplastics**

Developed by the Low Pressure Molding Task Group (5-33g) of the  
Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the  
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC  
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N  
Bannockburn, Illinois  
60015-1249  
Tel 847 615.7100  
Fax 847 615.7105

## PREFACE

As addressed in this document, encapsulation by the process of Low Pressure Molding (LPM) with Thermoplastics is used to encapsulate electronic assemblies into a desired form or shape. The process involves injection molding of a full or partial circuit assembly, thus encapsulating the desired circuitry and components. This can be done in a way so as to surround all or a select portion of the board assembly to achieve design goals. LPM forms a thick plastic coating which provides a sealed protective barrier. Results of LPM are not entirely dissimilar to results from a traditional potting process.

Typically, potting vessels are filled with potting compound which hardens in the vessel, causing the vessel to become part of the potted assembly. LPM requires no such vessel and can be molded into a desired form. LPM does require mold tooling.

The goal of the LPM encasement is to produce a physical protective layer in a desired shape. This layer protects the board and components from the environment and can provide mechanical attributes for handling and mounting and in some designs, the final product enclosure.

LPM is commonly done with polyamide (PA) polymer, a thermoplastic. Other thermoplastic materials such as polyolefins, polyesters and various copolymers may also be used. Thermoplastics are solid until elevated to melting temperature. During the molding process, material temperature is increased to become molten (liquid state) and remains molten until temperature returns to below the melt point. Further, thermoplastics by nature, never take a permanent set. There is no transformation or transition temperature as there is with thermoset plastics. Thermoplastics remain capable of returning to a liquid state, given enough temperature elevation.

Please note that thermoset and other polymer materials can also be used for electronics encasement or encapsulation purposes (see IPC-HDBK-850, "Guidelines for Design, Selection and Application of Potting Materials and Encapsulation Processes Used for Electronics Printed Circuit Board Assemblies." However, the majority of LPM materials currently used are thermoplastics. Accordingly, this document will focus on thermoplastics, realizing there will be some overlap with thermoset polymers.

Traditional injection molding is generally comprised of high speed injection of solid plastic in pellet form. Using high pressures, pellets are forced into a molten state and into a mold cavity, evacuating the air and packing the cavity. Forces of velocity and high pressure rapidly fill an otherwise vacant mold cavity. While this can be suitable for molding metals or other rigid items, the very nature of the high pressures and heats involved are much too high to be suitable for circuit board assembly molding.

Results obtained by LPM are unlike traditional injection molding as LPM is not intended to create a hollow enclosure or shell, rather the goal is to protect the circuit. The electronic content being molded is itself a barrier to LPM material flow, so the mold cavity forms the exterior shape with the electronic content consuming the balance of volume within the mold.

There are numerous applications for low pressure molding that may include high voltage and high reliability components. However, the user must validate the application, as incorporation of LPM with the device, the device design and the device's intended use vary widely.

When protection from shock, vibration, physical contact of the circuit assembly, corrosive, damp or wet environments is sought, LPM could be considered. In high vibration environments, the mechanical adhesion and resonance dampening properties of LPM materials mitigate the force seen on component bodies and lead attachment. Without additional mechanical means, there is only a solder joint producing the mechanical bond of the component to the board. The area within any encapsulation, is fully supported.

LPM can be considered to be used where conformal coatings and potting applications have traditionally been applied. Likewise, no guideline to date has been established to define clear differences where potting, coating, or LPM best apply.

This document is intended to provide insight as to uses for LPM and allowing the user to decide if and where LPM is appropriate. This document covers terminology associated with the LPM process as related to electronic board assembly. Designers of encapsulation for electronics applications must consider the encapsulation process and the properties of the materials.

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Low Pressure Molding Task Group (5-33g) of the Cleaning and Coating Committee (5-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

<b>Cleaning and Coating Committee</b>	<b>Low Pressure Molding Task Group</b>	<b>Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors</b>
Chair Debora Obitz	Chair Russell Steiner Allegion	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
Vice Chair Jason Keeping Celestica	Vice Chair Eric Camden Foresite, Inc.	
<hr/>		
<b>Low Pressure Molding Task Group</b>		
Art Ackerman, Henkel Corporation	David Greer, AssembleTronics LLC	Douglas Pauls, Rockwell Collins
Ms. Kim Atkins, Specialized Coating Services	Arnaud Grivon, Thales Global Services	Arthur Perkowski, Electronic Coating Technologies Inc.
Frederick Beltran, L-3 Communications	Bruce Hughes, AMRDEC MS&T EPPT	William Pffingston, Miraco, Inc.
Mr. Chris Bulen, Moldman Systems, LLC	Jim Hunt, On-Hand Adhesives	Michael Pierce, LPMS-USA, L.L.C.
Kurt Carlson, The Cavist Corporation	Sean Keating, Amphenol Limited (UK)	Callum Poole, Henkel Corporation
Tom Charlton, Electronic Coating Technologies Inc.	Jason Keeping, Celestica-Suzhou	Robert Potysman, AssembleTronics LLC
Brian Chislea, Dow Corning	Richard Kraszewski, Plexus Corp.	Rick Ramirez, Specialized Coating Services
Dr. Sean Clancy, HzO, Inc.	Fred Kuhlman, Ingredion	Ivan Roman, Continental Temic SA de CV
Robert Courtenay, Carlisle Interconnect Technologies	Scott Lowes, Lighthouse Molding	Tim Seeley, Stanley Black & Decker
Fernando Cutino, Allegion	Michael McCourt, Ellsworth Adhesive Systems	Stephen Sepulveda, Moldman Systems, LLC
Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Donald McCreary, Allegion	Jose Servin Olivares, Continental Temic SA de CV
Dr. Karl Gerdorf, Atotech Deutschland GmbH	Steven Middleton, Foresite, Inc.	Billy Simmonds, GHSP, Inc.
Cynthia Gomez, Continental Temic SA de CV	Roger Miedico, Raytheon Company	Matt Slaughter, LPMS-USA, L.L.C.
Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting	Joseph Montella, GHSP, Inc.	Mr. Chris White, National Instruments
	Terry Munson, Foresite, Inc.	
	Ms. Lourdes Orta, Kimball International	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Table of Contents

<b>1 SCOPE</b> .....	1	3.1.2 Visual .....	3
1.1 Purpose .....	1	3.1.3 Chemical Resistance .....	3
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS AND TERMS AND DEFINITIONS</b> .....	1	3.1.4 Thermal Characteristics .....	4
2.1 Applicable Documents .....	1	3.1.5 Outgassing .....	4
2.1.1 IPC .....	1	3.1.6 Shrinkage/Residual Stress .....	4
2.1.2 United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) .....	1	3.1.7 Adhesion .....	4
2.1.3 Underwriters Laboratories (UL) .....	2	3.1.8 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE) .....	4
2.2 Terms and Definitions .....	2	3.1.9 Hardness (Durometer) .....	4
2.2.1 Adhesion .....	2	3.1.10 Young's Modulus .....	4
2.2.2 Air Bubbles .....	2	3.1.11 Modulus of Elasticity .....	4
2.2.3 Blister .....	2	3.1.12 Electrical Insulation Characteristics of Thermal Plastics .....	4
2.2.4 Blow Through (Molding)* .....	2	3.1.12.1 Dielectric Properties/RF Properties .....	4
2.2.5 Board Deflection .....	2	3.1.12.2 Dielectric Withstanding Voltage (DWV) .....	4
2.2.6 Char .....	2	3.1.12.3 Insulation Resistance .....	4
2.2.7 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) .....	2	3.1.12.4 Permittivity (Dielectric Constant) and Loss Tangent (Dissipation Factor) .....	4
2.2.8 Cracking* .....	2	3.1.12.5 Radio Frequency (RF) Properties .....	5
2.2.9 Flash (Plastic)* .....	2	3.1.13 Processing Characteristics .....	5
2.2.10 Flow Holes .....	2	3.1.13.1 Viscosity .....	5
2.2.11 Gate .....	2	3.1.13.2 Flammability .....	5
2.2.12 Gate Mark (a.k.a. Gate Vestige) .....	2	3.1.13.2.1 Polyamide .....	5
2.2.13 Horizontal Injection .....	2	3.1.13.2.2 Polyolefin .....	5
2.2.14 Hot-Runner .....	2	3.1.13.3 Flexibility .....	5
2.2.15 Knit Lines .....	2	3.1.13.4 Abrasion Resistance .....	5
2.2.16 Low Pressure Molding (LPM) .....	2	3.1.13.5 Hydrolytic Stability .....	5
2.2.17 Mold Flow .....	2	3.1.13.6 Vapor Resistance .....	5
2.2.18 Molded .....	2	3.1.13.7 Corrosion Resistance .....	5
2.2.19 Probe Points* .....	3	3.1.13.8 Fungus Resistance .....	5
2.2.20 Runner .....	3	3.1.13.9 UV Stability .....	5
2.2.21 Short-Shot .....	3	3.1.13.10 Radiation .....	5
2.2.22 Shut-Off .....	3	3.1.13.11 Glass Transition Temperature (T <sub>g</sub> ) .....	5
2.2.23 Sink Marks* .....	3	3.1.14 Select with End Environment in Mind .....	5
2.2.24 Vertical Injection .....	3	3.2 Compatibility of LPM Thermoplastic Materials .....	6
2.2.25 Voids in LPM .....	3	3.2.1 Compatibility with Other Process Materials .....	6
<b>3 CONSIDERATIONS</b> .....	3	3.2.1.1 Chemical Adhesion Primers .....	6
3.1 Thermoplastic Materials .....	3	3.2.1.2 Fillers .....	6
3.1.1 Thermoplastic Polymer Types .....	3	3.2.1.3 Chemical Compatibility and Resistance .....	6
3.1.1.1 Polyamide .....	3	3.2.1.4 Biological Compatibility .....	6
3.1.1.2 Polyolefin .....	3	3.2.2 Material Data .....	6
3.1.1.3 Other Materials That Are Sometimes Used in Low Pressure Molding .....	3	3.2.2.1 Manufacturers Data Sheet .....	6
3.1.1.3.1 Copolymers .....	3	3.2.2.2 Safety Data Sheet (SDS) .....	7
		3.2.3 RoHS, REACH, WEEE, etc. ....	7



Figure 4-8	Flashing on Wires and Capacitors .....	16	Figure 4-24	Crack is Present (top view) .....	21
Figure 4-9	Flashing Present on Board Surface .....	17	Figure 4-25	Crack is Present (side view) .....	21
Figure 4-10	Flashing on Connector Mating Surfaces .....	17	Figure 4-26	No Board Deflection .....	22
Figure 4-11	Short-Shot Not Along Edge/Corner .....	18	Figure 4-27	Deflected Board (bottom and top board should be parallel) .....	22
Figure 4-12	Short-Shot Exposes Board Conductors and Does Not Provide Structural Integrity as Required in a Particular Design .....	18	Figure 4-28	Deflected Board (bottom and top board should be parallel) .....	22
Figure 4-13	Short-Shot at Corner .....	18	Figure 4-29	Flecks of Char .....	23
Figure 4-14	Short-Shot Exposes Uninsulated Wire .....	18	Figure 4-30	Fleck of Char .....	23
Figure 4-15	Isolated, Suspended Bubble Present .....	19	Figure 4-31	Vein of Discoloration .....	23
Figure 4-16	Bubble Containing Multiple Pins – However, is not Open and Exposed to Environment .....	19	Figure 4-32	Discolored Board .....	23
Figure 4-17	Bubble Elevates Surface .....	19	Figure 4-33	Even Surface (Visible Lines are Tooling Marks in Prototype Tool – not the Focus Here) .....	24
Figure 4-18	Bubble Exposes Board in a Mechanically or Electrically Significant Area .....	19	Figure 4-34	Sunken Surface (Visible Lines are Tooling Marks in Prototype Tool – not the Focus Here) .....	24
Figure 4-19	Isolated Area of Poor Adhesion .....	20	Figure 4-35	Broken Connector .....	25
Figure 4-20	Poor Adhesion Along Shut-Off .....	20	Figure 4-36	Broken Connector .....	25
Figure 4-21	Poor Adhesion Covering Multiple Pins .....	20	Figure 4-37	Broken Connector .....	25
Figure 4-22	Knit Lines are Present .....	21	Figure 4-38	LPM Ejector Pin Mark on Printed Board .....	25
Figure 4-23	Cold-Flow Lines are Present Below Gate Mark .....	21			

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Guideline for Design, Material Selection and General Application of Encapsulation of Electronic Circuit Assembly by Low Pressure Molding with Thermoplastics

## 1 SCOPE

Encapsulation, for the purpose of this document, is defined as a low pressure molded thermoplastic, e.g., polyamide, which is brought to a liquid state and injection molded and (rather quickly) returned to a temperature below its melting point, forming a durable yet pliable (rubbery-like) form. The desired performance characteristics of LPM encapsulation depend on the application and must be considered when selecting material. Users are urged to consult material suppliers for detailed technical data. This guide will aid the user in understanding the capabilities and limits of LPM using thermoplastics. It is the responsibility of the user to determine the suitability, via appropriate testing, of the selected encapsulation and if the application method is suitable for a particular end use application, including but not limited to:

- a. Inhibit current leakage and short circuit due to humidity and contamination from service environment.
- b. Inhibit corrosion, tarnish.
- c. Encapsulation may help in reducing the stresses due to CTE mismatches.
- d. Inhibit arcing and corona, in particular, for high voltage applications.
- e. Provide mechanical support and to prevent damages due to mechanical shock and vibration.
- f. Provide a mitigation method limiting the growth of tin-whiskers.
- g. Promote longer battery life in battery operated devices by limiting parasitic voltage leaching.
- h. Inhibit ability of dendritic element formation.
- i. Prevent damage of circuit by assemblers, installers and end users.

The acceptability criteria listed in this document were chosen with the intent that the printed board assemblies would not be seen by the end user. If the LPM is to be used as the final housing, more restrictions may be set on aesthetics. The acceptability criteria for any one project may be unique and should be considered by the design team and consensus reached between designer, tool makers and part manufacturers prior to engaging in a tooling process. The resulting quality and workmanship acceptability may fall beyond the limits called for in this document. If so, those requirements will be AABUS.

**1.1 Purpose** The purpose of this handbook is to assist the individuals who must either make choices regarding encapsulation or who must work with LPM encapsulation. IPC-7621 is to provide guidelines for design, material selection, and application specifically as it pertains to electronic components and printed board assembly by Low Pressure Molding with Thermoplastics.

## 2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS AND TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

### 2.1 Applicable Documents

#### 2.1.1 IPC

**IPC-T-50** Terms and Definitions for Interconnecting and Packaging Electronic Circuits

**IPC J-STD-001** Requirements for Soldered Electrical and Electronic Assemblies

**IPC-HDBK-001** Handbook and Guide to Supplement J-STD-001

**IPC-A-610** Acceptability of Electronic Assemblies

**IPC-HDBK-850** Guidelines for Design, Selection and Application of Potting Materials and Encapsulation Processes Used for Electronics Printed Circuit Board Assemblies

#### 2.1.2 United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

**21CFR 175.105** Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Indirect Additives in Food Contact Substances