



IPC-2551

2020 - December

International Standard for Digital Twins

An international standard developed by IPC



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER



Standard

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-2551

International Standard for Digital Twins

Developed by the Generic Requirements for Digital Twin Task Group
(2-12a) of the Electronic Product Data Description Committee (2-10)
of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the Generic Requirements for Digital Twin Task Group (2-12a) of the Electronic Product Data Description Committee (2-10) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude.

Electronic Product Data Description Committee	Generic Requirements for Digital Twin Task Group	Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Michael Ford Aegis Software	Co-Chairs Radu Diaconescu Swissmic Michael Ford Aegis Software	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
Generic Requirements for Digital Twin Task Group		
Edward Acheson, Cadence Design Systems Inc.	Jay Gorajia, Siemens Digital Industries Software	Zohair Mehkri, Flextronics International
Jimmy Baccam, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Ife Hsu, Intel Corporation	Lim Ming, Jabil Circuit Sdn. Bhd.
Jun Balangue, Keysight Technologies	Ching-tang Huang, TTRI	Michael Minkevich, Bayflex Solutions
Kent Balius, TTM Technologies	Jennie Hwang, H-Technologies Group	Marc Peo, Heller Industries Inc.
Dirk Bauernfeind, ASYS Automatisierungssysteme GmbH	Tom Katsioulas, Mentor Graphics Corporation	Sylvain Perron, Cogiscan Inc.
Tim Burke, Arch Systems	Robert Kinyanjui, John Deere Electronic Solutions	Ray Prasad, Ray Prasad Consultancy Group
Michael Carano, RBP Chemical Technology, Inc.	Eduard Kirstgen, ASYS Automatisierungssysteme GmbH	David Rogers, Siemens Industry Inc.
Zhiman Chen, Zhuzhou CRRC Times Electric Co., Ltd.	Suriyakan Kleitz, Schlumberger Well Services	Neaven Seo, Keysight Technologies
Thomas Cleere, BAE Systems	Dana Korf, Korf Consultancy LLC	Hemant Shah
Ray Dableh, JDRF Electromag	Craig Lax, Septillion Technologies	Chris Shaw, Fujitsu Network Communications
Radu Diaconescu, Swissmic	Vincent Levannier, SYNEO, LLC	Cameron Shearon, Raytheon Company
Lisa Maria Dorfhuber	Charlie Liu, OPS Excellence Consulting	Jarrold Webb, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control
Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control	Tzuo-Laing Luo, Taiwan Textiles Research Institute	Siew-Siew Wee, Keysight Technologies
Michael Ford, Aegis Software	Thomas Marktscheffel, ASM (Assembly Systems) GmbH & Co. KG	Victor Xu, Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd
Daniel Gamota, Jabil Circuit, Inc. (HQ)	Karen McConnell, Northrop Grumman Corporation	Jack Zhu, Veoneer China CO., LTD
Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Space Systems		
Special Recognition		
IPC recognizes the following group of people who showed exceptional leadership and effort in the development of IPC-2551. Their efforts accelerated the process of publishing this much needed standard.		
Edward Acheson, Cadence Design Systems Inc.	Michael Ford, Aegis Software	David Rogers, Siemens Industry Inc.
Radu Diaconescu, Swissmic	Dana Korf, Korf Consultancy LLC	Hemant Shah, Hemant Shah

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

1	SCOPE	1	4	DIGITAL TWIN NAMED CELLS ARCHITECTURE	8
1.1	Purpose	1	4.1	Cell Definition: IPC Digital Twin	8
1.2	Application of This Standard	1	4.2	Digital Twin Product	8
1.3	Definition of Requirements	1	4.2.1	Digital Twin Product: Design Intent	9
1.4	Order of Precedence	1	4.2.2	Digital Twin Product: Product Structure	9
1.4.1	Conflict	2	4.2.3	Digital Twin Product: Mechanical Design	10
1.4.2	Clause References	2	4.2.4	Digital Twin Product: Electronic Design	10
1.4.3	Appendices	2	4.2.5	Digital Twin Product: Design BOM	10
1.5	Abbreviations and Acronyms	2	4.2.6	Digital Twin Product: Design Traceability	11
1.6	Benefits of Establishing a Digital Twin	2	4.3	Digital Twin Manufacturing	11
1.7	Hierarchy of a Digital Twin	2	4.3.1	Digital Twin Manufacturing: Configuration	12
1.8	Approach to Standardization of Digital Twin Elements	4	4.3.2	Digital Twin Manufacturing: Work Order	15
1.9	Interoperability	4	4.3.3	Digital Twin Manufacturing: Digital Thread	16
1.9.1	Mixed-Production Example	4	4.4	Digital Twin Lifecycle	17
1.9.2	Automated Design Augmentation Example	4	4.4.1	Digital Twin Lifecycle Supply Chain	18
1.9.3	Advanced Production Simulation Example	5	4.4.2	Digital Twin Lifecycle Usage	18
1.10	Sectional IPC Digital Twin Standards and the Maintenance of This Standard	5	4.4.3	Digital Twin Lifecycle Supply Chain Usage Normal Maintenance	19
1.11	Terms and Definitions	5	4.4.4	Digital Twin Lifecycle Recycle	20
1.11.1	Component	5	5	DIGITAL TWIN READINESS AND ASSESSMENT	20
1.11.2	Digital Thread	5	5.1	Digital Twin Readiness Levels	20
1.11.3	Digital Twin	5	5.2	Digital Twin Assessment	21
1.11.4	Digital Twin Architecture	5	APPENDIX A	Index of Acronyms	23
1.11.5	Digital Twin Lifecycle	5		Figures	
1.11.6	Digital Twin Manufacturing	5	Figure 1-1	High-Level Hierarchy of the Digital Twin	3
1.11.7	Digital Twin Product	6	Figure 1-2	Virtualization of Data Interoperability at Many Levels	5
1.11.8	Digital Twin Properties	6	Figure 3-1	Digital Twin Cell Architecture	7
1.11.9	Digital Twin Solution	6	Figure 4-1	Digital Twin Product Architecture	8
1.11.10	Path	6	Figure 4-2	Digital Twin Manufacturing Architecture	11
1.11.11	Site	6	Figure 4-3	Digital Twin Lifecycle Architecture	17
1.11.12	Station	6		Tables	
1.11.13	Station Assembly	6	Table 5-1	Digital Twin Readiness Levels	21
2	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	6	Table 5-2	Digital Twin Assessment Matrix	22
2.1	IPC	6			
2.2	JEDEC	6			
3	DIGITAL TWIN ARCHITECTURE	7			
3.1	Guidance on the Use of This Standard	7			
3.2	Digital Twin Cell Properties	7			

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

International Standard for Digital Twins

1 SCOPE

This standard establishes the IPC Digital Twin, which is comprised of the Digital Twin Product, Digital Twin Manufacturing and Digital Twin Lifecycle frameworks. Within the Digital Twin Architecture, this standard stipulates and defines Digital Twin properties, types, complexities and readiness levels. The IPC Digital Twin includes historical information about a product, including the history of design in terms of revision and engineering changes, and manufacturing information, that many refer to as the Digital Thread.

This standard enables any manufacturer, design organization or solution provider to initiate application interoperability to create smart value chains, as well as the mechanism to assess their current IPC Digital Twin readiness level.

This standard provides the information and guidance necessary to understand a full IPC Digital Twin, Digital Twin Product, Digital Twin Manufacturing and Digital Twin Lifecycle. This standard also provides information and guidance on how organizations benefit from the IPC Digital Twin, how to assess IPC Digital Twin readiness level and how to prepare an organization of any size or production volume to implement a full IPC Digital Twin approach to its organization and/or products.

1.1 Purpose The purpose of the standard is to enable interoperability of all forms of processing of digital data related to a product, that precisely match and represents the physical capabilities. In this way, any manufacturer is able to create and utilize the IPC Digital Twin to represent every process and possible actions taken on a product within the manufacturing and lifecycle environment, for engineering, modelling, planning, quality and reliability analysis, simulations, etc. Critical decisions for product, process and material design can be optimized within the digital realm with the certainty that the expected performance and benefits will exist in the physical world. The effect is that physical prototypes of any description can be avoided, including trial and error, resulting in vastly reduced lead-time and costs, as well as elimination of mistakes.

1.2 Application of This Standard This standard is applicable to all aspects of the product lifecycle, from initial design concept, through the final end of life. All associated physical and transactional operations are included. There are no restrictions in terms of product classification sector, size of operation or location. SMT production is not required to be a part of the factory. Though intended to support all aspects of printed board production, the use of IPC CFX can be extended downstream to include, for example, mechanical assembly, personalization, packing and shipping, as well as up-stream to include, for example, electrical and mechanical subassemblies.

1.3 Definition of Requirements The words **shall** or **shall not** are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this Standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.

1.4 Order of Precedence The contract **shall** take precedence over this Standard, referenced standards and drawings.

In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence applies:

1. Procurement as agreed and documented between customer and supplier.
2. Master drawing reflecting the customer’s detailed requirements.
3. When invoked by the customer or per contractual agreement, this standard.

When documents other than this standard are cited, the order of precedence **shall** be defined in the procurement documents.

The User has the opportunity to specify alternate acceptance criteria.